

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

512 Magazine

EXTENSION

3383

NO.

DATE

21 April 1970

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/PAD
512 Magazine

1. I owe you a comment on this item.

2.

2. This kind of home leave change is OK per new reg and need not come to D/Pers in future (i.e., a relative recognized by reg is subject to approval by Operating Official only).

3.

DD/Pers/P&C
512 Magazine

4.

5.

AExO/OP
5-E-56 Hdqs

6.

7.

DD/Pers
5-E-56 Hdqs

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

3. The PPR change requested is not within scope of reg, as published, and should be disapproved. Reg permits an exception to employee's physical dwelling at time of departure overseas if he can demonstrate it was temporary and some other place requested was his previous dwelling place or domicile (normally evidenced by property ownership, voting registration or tax payment).

4. Whereas location of certain relatives is germane in deciding upon an employee's home leave point, it has nothing to do with his PPR (and the purposes a PPR serves including future prospective travel entitlements such as at the time of retirement). Thus employee's wish to have her brother noted as emergency addressee should be noted but it is irrelevant re approval of PPR. (We specifically did not provide for a PPR to be established upon the basis of an employee's declaration of intent to reside somewhere else in the future.)